

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

S.L.P. (CRL.) NO. 9313 OF 2021

GANESH PATEL

Petitioner

VERSUS

UMAKANT RAJORIA

Respondent

O R D E R

We do not find any good ground or reason to interfere with the impugned order. In fact, we must observe that the petitioner has concealed in the petition for special leave to appeal that the alleged compromise deed dated 18.04.2016 has been disputed and denied by the respondent.

The compromise deed was also relied upon by the petitioner before the High Court in CRR NO. 3100/ 2015, which was dismissed *vide* order dated 18.02.2016. This order specifically records that on two previous dates, *viz.*, 07.12.2015 and 14.01.2016, the appellant was directed to surrender before the trial court, but he had not done so.

The order dated 18.02.2016 was challenged before this court in Cr1.M.P. No. 20184 of 2016, which was dismissed *vide* order dated 25.04.2017, as the appellant had not complied with the direction to surrender and file proof within four weeks. The prayer for exemption from

surrendering was rejected.

The petitioner, had filed a criminal appeal along with an application for condonation of delay under section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963, before the Sessions Court, Sagar. This application was dismissed *vide* order dated 23.09.2015. Resultantly, the criminal appeal was dismissed.

The petitioner filed another appeal and section 5 application for condonation of delay before the IInd ASJ, Sagar, which was dismissed *vide* order dated 30.03.2016.

Undeterred by the aforesaid orders, the petitioner filed Miscellaneous Criminal Case No. 6576 of 2017 before the High Court contending that there has been a compromise between him and the respondent. This petition under section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, was allowed *ex parte vide* judgment dated 13.10.2017.

The respondent thereupon preferred an application for recall of the order dated 13.10.2017. The aforesaid order, it was stated, was passed in the absence of the respondent, and based on false information. The High Court recalled the order dated 13.10.2017 *vide* the impugned order dated 23.10.2021.

This application for recall of the order was maintainable as it was an application seeking a procedural review, and

not a substantive review to which Section 362 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, would be attracted.¹ On the aspect of the difference between recall and review and when an order of recall can be passed reference can be made to *Budhia Swain and Others v. Gopinath Deb and Others*.²

The High Court was therefore right in recalling the order and listing MCRC No. 6576/2017 for hearing and decision on merits.

Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand Only) deposited by the petitioner in terms of the order dated 10.12.2021 would be paid to the respondent.

For the reasons stated above, the petition seeking special leave to appeal is dismissed and the interim order passed by us on 11th February, 2022 will no longer operate.

All pending applications stand disposed of.

. J.
(SANJIV KHANNA)

. J.
(BELA M. TRIVEDI)

NEW DELHI;
MARCH 07, 2022

1 Grindlays Bank Ltd. v. Central Government Industrial Tribunal & Ors. 1980 (supp) SCC 420
2 (1999) 4 SCC 396

ITEM NO.27 Court 16 (Video Conferencing)

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Cr1.) No(s). 9313/2021
(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 23-10-2021
in MCRC No. 5341/2018 passed by the High Court of M.P Principal
Seat at Jabalpur)

GANESH PATEL

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UMAKANT RAJORIA

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.156962/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING
O.T. and IA No.156968/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT
IA No. 156968/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT
IA No. 156962/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 07-03-2022 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE BELA M. TRIVEDI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Prashant Shukla, Adv.
Anushree Shukla, Adv.
Mr. Mayank Goutam, Adv.
Mr. Ashu Bhindwar, Adv.
Mr. Divyesh Pratap Singh, AOR

For Respondent(s)
Mr. Varinder Kumar Sharma, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The petition seeking special leave to appeal is
dismissed in terms of the Signed Order.

All pending applications stand disposed of.

(SONIA BHASIN)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(DIPTI KHURANA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)

[Signed Order is placed on the file]